Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

CYBERSECURITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

Special Virtual Meeting
Friday, June 4, 2026, 1:30 PM

1. Welcome, Call to Order and Roll Call

Ms. Gutierrez called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m. and welcomed everyone to the meeting. She reviewed general procedures for the meeting including use of the Teams platform.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Manny Barreras, Chair, DoIT Cabinet Secretary
Raja Sambandam, State CISO, OCS
Dr. Lorie Liebrock, Emeritus Professor, NM Institute of Mining & Technology
Regina Chacon, Deputy Secretary, DHSEM
Bill York, CSO, DOH
Robert Benavidez, CIO, Bernalillo County
Tim Presley, CIO, Tesuque Casino
Cassandra Hayne, CIO, AOC
Dr. Brad Purdy, CISO, SOS

MEMBERS ABSENT

Major General Miguel Aguilar, Department of Military Affairs
Dr. Srinivas Mukkamala, CEO, Securin, Inc.
Senator Michael Padilla, State Senator

OTHERS PRESENT

Melissa Gutierrez (OCS)
Todd Baran (OCS)
Bryan Brock (OCS)
Flori Martinez (OCS)
Cassandra Brown (OCS)
Jenna Torres (OCS)
Jacqueline Lovato (OCS)
Michael Wisniewski (OCS)
Dan Garcia (OCS)
Todd Glanzer (Deloitte)
William Campos (Deloitte)

2. Approval of Agenda

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to approve the Agenda. Ms. Chacon so moved, seconded by Mr. Sambandam. There being no discussion or opposition, the Agenda was approved.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to approve the minutes of the March 12, 2026 meeting. Ms. Chacon so moved, seconded by Mr. Sambandam. There being no discussion or opposition the minutes were approved.

4. Public Comment(s)

Chair Barreras called for any public comments. There were none.

5. SLCGP Updates – Melissa Gutierrez

a. Year 1 – Projects and Remaining Balance

Ms. Gutierrez screen-shared and reviewed the information concerning these projects. She noted that

Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

the Period of Performance is coming up, on 11/30/2026, and there are two active projects which are on schedule and on budget. She added that there is approximately $307,000.00 remaining to be expended for Year 1, before the 11/30/2026 deadline, and some of the challenges executing this will be the expiring funds and the tight timeline for expenditures. She gave the details for any new projects, which are not part of the five originally proposed projects.

b. Year 2 – Period of Performance and Balance
Ms. Gutierrez screen-shared and reviewed the information for Year 2, stating that after the MNA there will be $4.13 million available for Year 2, with the Period of Performance for Year 2 ending on 11/30/2027.

She deferred further review of these to a subsequent Agenda item as there are some proposals to be presented for some of these expenditures. She then asked if there were any questions about this update. There were none.

6. Discussion and Possible Action Items – Engagement and Policy Subcommittee

a. Year 1 SLCGP Projects and Procurement
Ms. Gutierrez screen-shared and reviewed a summary of the proposals discussed by the Engagement Subcommittee.

The first proposal reviewed was the approval of $113,694.15 for continued VMaaS services for the nine entities currently receiving authenticated scans, to cover the first five months of FY27. These entities also have signed consent forms for this project for Year 1.

For the remaining $193,000.00 to be expended for Year 1, the proposed projects would include possible workshops and/or third party risk management projects.

Mr. Benavidez expressed the concern that third party risk management is one of the emerging risks for most organizations in terms of cybersecurity, and the need to put something in motion prior to the end of the Period of Performance. He described the details of these workshops to be provided across the State in order to provide better education to local entities.

Ms. Gutierrez suggested coming back to this item for further discussion with a more detailed presentation.

b. Year 2 SLCGP Projects and Procurement
Ms. Gutierrez proceeded with the information for Year 2 as there had been some discussion regarding expenditure of Year 2 funds to continue the cyber awareness training with KnowBe4. She stated that 93 entities had requested licenses, with procurement of approximately 35,000 licenses, at a cost of $250,000.00, with these licenses being in effect from 07/01/2026 through 06/30/2027.

The second item for Year 2 is the VMaaS for 20 entities as well as the nine which will expire in November, for a total of $259,752.00, for coverage beginning 07/01/2026, through 06/30/2027.

Ms. Gutierrez noted the dramatic increase in the number of applicants for all projects for Year 2 and that the Engagement Subcommittee is still in the process of selecting projects for Year 2, but the Subcommittee did want to continue the services already in place.

Ms. Gutierrez added that the State has provided some special funding enabling coverage of all K-12, higher education and charter schools for VMaaS, so that the funding mentioned above for 20 entities will be for truly local entities such as counties, municipalities, water systems, 911 call centers, etc.

She stated that the importance of having a vote on these two projects today is to allow time for investment justification, and submission to CISA and FEMA for approval, in order to provide continuity for the entities returning for Year 2.

Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

Ms. Gutierrez then referred back to the proposals previously mentioned under item 6a, which were the regional workshops and third party risk management suggestions. She then asked Mr. Campos, from Deloitte, to give the presentation they have prepared regarding the regional workshops. Mr. Campos gave a detailed review of the screen-shared presentation regarding the Cybersecurity Program Workshops, which would be an extension of an existing FEMA/CISA approved cybersecurity project, coded as NM-1, which was submitted in the investment justifications and project worksheets.

Discussion, questions and comments regarding the presentation and proposal ensued with input from Mr. Sambandam, Secretary Barreras, Dr. Liebrock and Dr. Purdy, as well as corresponding responses from Mr. Benavidez and Mr. Campos.

Mr. Baran was not available at this point to present the information about the third party risk management project. In light of this, Mr. Sambandam proposed proceeding with decisions regarding the Year 2 items as they are independent of the Year 1 funding. The Chair and Committee members were amenable to this.

Mr. Sambandam then restated the items to be decided upon using Year 2 funding:

1). Cybersecurity Awareness Training licenses for 93 entities ($250,000.00).

2). Continuation for Year 2 of VMaaS for 20 entities ($259,752.01).

Mr. Benavidez stated his opinion that this represents the Committee's commitment, or the State's commitment, to continue these services which bring value to the entities already participating, as well as bringing in new entities to take advantage of these services and keep things moving forward.

Mr. Benavidez asked if there were any concerns about allocating this funding to continue these Year 1 projects into the Year 2 Period of Performance. Ms. Gutierrez suggested approving the Year 1 funding for the nine entities already participating because Year 2 does include the remaining months of the fiscal year for those nine entities. Mr. Benavidez replied that there should probably be two votes, one for Year 1 VMaaS and another for the Year 2 allocation. Mr. Sambandam and Mr. Benavidez then asked Ms. Gutierrez to state the motion clearly due to these concerns.

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to approve $113, 694.15 to cover nine entities for authenticated scans from July 1, 2027 through November 30, 2026. Dr. Liebrock so moved, seconded by Mr. Benavidez. Ms. Gutierrez then conducted a Roll Call vote. All in favor. Motion passed.

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to provide 20 entities with Vulnerability Management as a Service (VMaaS), at a cost of $259,752.01 from Year 2 SLCGP funding. Dr. Liebrock so moved, seconded by Mr. Benavidez. Ms. Gutierrez called for any discussion.

Mr. Sambandam noted that for clarity, this will be for authenticated scans. Ms. Gutierrez agreed this will be for Vulnerability Management as a Service with authenticated scans. Dr. Liebrock asked for clarification of the dates of coverage. Ms. Gutierrez stated this will be for coverage from 07/01/2026 through 06/30/2027. Dr. Liebrock then asked if there would be the need in the future to approve coverage for these 20 entities from 07/01/2027 through 11/30/2027. Ms. Gutierrez replied that this is correct.

As there were no further questions or discussion Ms. Gutierrez proceeded with a Roll Call vote. All in favor. Motion passed.

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to approve the Cybersecurity Awareness Training for 93 entities, with a project budget of $250,000.00, for KnowBe4 licenses from 07/01/2026 through 06/30/2027, which is for the entirety of State FY2027. Mr. Benavidez so moved, seconded by Mr.

Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

Presley. Ms. Gutierrez called for any discussion; there was none. Ms. Gutierrez proceeded with a Roll Call vote. All in favor. Motion passed.

Mr. Glanzer then asked if the Committee would like him to proceed with the presentation of other project options which had been suggested in lieu of the ability to extend against the current Year 1 projects previously discussed, which were the workshops and the additional vulnerability management, as well as the third party risk management. Secretary Barreras agreed that Mr. Glanzer should proceed.

Mr. Glanzer then screen-shared and reviewed a presentation which gave a detailed description of potential project titles for expending the available Year 1 funds prior to the closeout of the Period of Performance in November 2026. These project titles included purchasing of MFA hardware, a threat hunting and compromise assessment sub-look, tabletop exercises focused around incident response function, training vouchers for SANS training or boot camps and back-up capability solutions.

Mr. Sambandam asked Mr. Glanzer to explain the reasons for the formulation and presentation of this list of potential projects. Mr. Glanzer stated that the concerns are threefold; 1) The closeout of the Period of Performance on 11/30/2026, 2) Anything the State or Planning Committee might want to change regarding the Period of Performance or items in the Plan would be a hindrance with regard to time and coordination efforts and 3) Anything considered a product, not currently considered as a service, such as procurement of hard-key token/MFA devices or a back-up solution given to an entity which would not qualify as a service and the direct funding allocation associated with that. Mr. Glanzer asked if this answered Mr. Sambandam's concerns. Mr. Sambandam replied that it did and thanked Mr. Glanzer. Mr. Sambandam stated all the necessary information is available for these items, but asked the Chair to let Mr. Glanzer know if any additional information is required as the Deloitte team is the subject matter experts in this regard. Chair Barreras thanked Mr. Glanzer for his presentation and commented about the feasibility of some of the items mentioned.

Dr. Purdy had several questions about specific items, such as MFA hardware, YubiKeys, "back-up in a box", etc., which Chair Barreras answered.

Mr. Glanzer reiterated that these are options, some of which, based on time and criteria can be considered under Year 1, and noted that the approved Plan had several of these items in it as proposed future projects, just not included in Year 1, which are good ideas that fit requirements and as there is a Plan update coming through the Committee for approval there will be a chance to re-validate these types of projects and investment justifications that would move forward, even perhaps submitting changes to Year 2 if needed or beyond.

Chair Barreras asked Ms. Gutierrez if Mr. Baran was going to give an additional presentation. Ms. Gutierrez responded that Mr. Baran is now available. Chair Barreras noted that he had to leave the meeting at 3:00 p.m. and the current time is 2:50 p.m. Ms. Gutierrez asked if Mr. Baran should proceed with his report. Chair Barreras agreed if this could be done quickly.

Mr. Baran stated that in FY26 the State, through the Office of Cybersecurity, engaged into PPRM initiatives of which one was to hire a consultant for a top to bottom evaluation of current PPRM practices in State government and State procurement, as well as a pilot involving a security scorecard vendor, a vendor who performs external scanning of vendor environments, sets up a vulnerability management dashboard for the vendor and works with vendors to address any vulnerabilities discovered. These initiatives revealed the need for either legal or contractual provisions that mandate cooperation and participation by the vendors in order for State and local governments to have a robust PPRM program. He added that the State lacks those provisions and will be working to fill this void moving forward and that it is quite probable that local governments and other political subdivisions are also lacking either legal, through ordinances, or contractual provisions which require vendors to participate in these programs which provide basic cybersecurity information and meet certain minimum cybersecurity protections. He suggested a potential project in which a vendor is selected to do a very

Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

quick assessment of the current state of PPRM contractual and ordinance based provisions in New Mexico and hopefully propose a set of template ordinances and/or contract provisions that local governments could adopt to start building the cornerstone for a PPRM program. He stated that the pricing for this is unknown, however, based on what was paid for the consultant to do the limited whole State study, the estimate would be approximately $50,000.00 to $75,000.00.

Chair Barreras asked if this would be working in conjunction with GSD. Mr. Baran replied that this would only be for local governments and he was not sure about the relationship between local governments and GSD, if there even is a connection, so this would be working with the equivalent centralized procurement offices, which the education department has, and that there is possibly an equivalent through the Association of Counties or Municipal League, which coordinates procurement, so those would be the entities involved.

Mr. Sambandam cited the impetus for this is the recent breach in the K-12 space. Chair Barreras noted the mention of a security scorecard and his recall that this had been mentioned in a previous meeting and subsequent discussions regarding changes in State statute. Mr. Sambandam stated things are coming together and this can be discussed further offline. Mr. Sambandam added that in the breach he just mentioned a large vendor was compromised and as a result multiple K-12 and higher education institutions were affected, so having a good third-party vendor risk management program would help gain an understanding of the existing contractual language and streamline this across the ecosystem to provide basic protections.

Mr. Benavidez commented that this would be money well-spent, as his organization is being asked to vet new vendors and new software products continually and they have begun developing their own “boiler plate”, but this would be much more powerful if this was standardized across all entities. He added that he did not think this would be very difficult as all agencies are bound by the State procurement codes, so involving participants from procurement and legal makes sense. Mr. Sambandam commented on the difficulties involved with any type of breach.

Mr. Sambandam asked the Chair if there could be an agreement regarding remaining Year 1 funds, as many participants may have to leave the meeting per Chat comments, with loss of Quorum. Chair Barreras agreed. Mr. Sambandam asked Ms. Gutierrez to formulate the motion for clarity.

MOTION: Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to use the remaining balance of $193,661.04, on projects for workshops and third-party risk management, and allow the Engagement Subcommittee not to exceed that amount in identifying ways to expend these funds for Year 1, by 11/30/2026. Dr. Liebrock so moved.

Chair Barreras had a question about the possibility of limiting the amounts for each project or phase of projects (i.e., Option A, Option B). Chair Barreras suggested rephrasing the motion to state for Option A not to exceed $65,000.00 - $70,000.00 for workshops with the remainder for Option B. Mr. Sambandam suggested adjusting the amounts to $80,000.00, and gave reasons for this. Chair Barreras agreed with this.

MOTION RESTATED: Ms. Gutierrez rephrased the motion as follows: The motion is to expend the remaining $193,661.04, not to exceed $80,000.00 for the workshop project, with the remainder used for Option B, the third-party risk management project, by the Engagement Subcommittee. Dr. Liebrock so moved.

Dr. Purdy had a question about the other four options discussed. Mr. Sambandam replied that these were options to be considered in upcoming years to be evaluated and included as new projects moving forward and asked Mr. Glanzer to confirm this. Mr. Glanzer replied that these would be a potential Option C, if the workshops and the third-party risk management approach were not viable in Year 1.

Ms. Gutierrez called for a second to the motion. Mr. Benavidez seconded the motion. Ms. Gutierrez

Docusign Envelope ID: 94A94511-9968-8D19-80D5-B2EFCF32E11A

called for additional discussion. There was none. Ms. Gutierrez then proceeded with a Roll Call vote. All were in favor. Motion passed.

7. Member Comment(s)

- Skipped due to time constraints

8. Adjournment:

MOTION: There being no further business before the Committee, Ms. Gutierrez called for a motion to adjourn. Dr. Liebrock so moved, seconded by Mr. Sambandam. There being no opposition the meeting was adjourned at 3:01 p.m.

Signed by:
Manny Barreras
32A0D0595C7C493...